OSSEF Judging Criteria Rubric – 2025

Please use your best judgement; criteria are a guide for interviewing students and evaluating their projects. Actual judges' scores will be entered via online form on the day of the fair.

Many science and engineering criteria are the same, some differences are reflected in the rubric.

Research Question			
1	2 3	5	
Science: Does not provide a	Science: Provides a vague	Science: Provides a clearly	
purpose or provides one that	research question; focused	articulated research guestion,	
is unclear or disorganized;	purpose statement; or	focused purpose statement,	
does not identify a	contribution to the field of	and contributions to the field	
contribution to the field of	study. The scientific method	of study. The scientific method	
study; or is not able to be	presented in the study is	presented in the study is sound	
tested using sound scientific	testable using sound methods	and clearly testable.	
methods.	but could benefit from further		
	efforts.		
Engineering: Fails to provide		Engineering: Provides a clear	
a description of a practical	Engineering: Provides a vague	description of a practical need	
need or problem to be	description of a practical need	or problem to be solved;	
solved, or provides one that	or problem to be solved; simple	thoroughly descriptive	
is unclear; fails to define	and vague definition of criteria	definition of criteria for	
criteria for proposed solution	for proposed solution; limited	proposed solution; detailed	
or defines incorrectly; lacks	explanation of constraints.	explanation of constraints.	
explanation of constraints.			
Points As	signed, weighted X 2 = (1	.0 possible points)	
	Design and Methodology		
1	2 3 4	ł 5	
Science: Does not provide a	Science: Provides a clear plan	Science. Provides a well-	
well-designed plan; data	but lacks clarity in data	designed plan with clear data	
collection methods are	collection methods; variables	collection methods; variables	
poorly designed or absent;	and controls are somewhat	and controls are explicitly	
variables and controls are	vague. As described, the	described, appropriate, and	
poorly defined,	replication of the experimental	complete. As described, the	
inappropriate, or incomplete.	design would need additional	experimental design would be	
	information for replication.	easily replicated from the	
Engineering: Does not		information provided.	
provide or provides notably	Engineering : Provides some	Engineering: Provides an	
unclear exploration of	exploration of alternatives to	extensive exploration of	
alternatives to answer a need	answer a need or problem;	alternatives to answer a need	
or problem; absence of	moderately unclear or simple	or problem; clear and	
identification of a solution;	identification of a solution;	advanced identification of a	

absence of or poor quality of	incomplete development but	solution; skillful development		
a prototype/model.	good quality of a	of a high-quality		
' ' '	prototype/model.	prototype/model.		
Points, weighted X 3 = (15 possible points)				
Science Pro	Science Projects - Execution: Data Collection, Analysis, Interpretation			
Engineering Projects - Execution: Construction, Testing				
1 2 3 4 5				
<u>Science</u> : Does not provide	Science: Provides organized	<u>Science</u> : Provides clear systematic		
clear systematic data	data collection and analysis;	data collection and analysis;		
collection and analysis;	moderate reproducibility of	reproducibility of results;		
incorrect application or	results; application of	appropriate application of		
absence of mathematical or	mathematical and statistical	mathematical and statistical		
statistical methods;	methods is weak; insufficient	methods; sufficient data collected		
insufficient data collected to	data collected to support	to support interpretation and		
support interpretation and	interpretation and conclusions.	conclusions.		
conclusions.				
	Engineering: Provides a	Engineering : Provides a prototype		
Engineering: Does not	prototype that demonstrates	that specifically demonstrates		
provide a prototype that	some qualities of the intended	intended design; prototype has		
demonstrates qualities of the	design; prototype has been	been thoroughly tested in		
intended design; prototype	moderately tested in some	multiple conditions/trials;		
has not been tested in	conditions or trials; prototype	prototype demonstrates		
conditions/trials; prototype	demonstrates a few	engineering skills and		
does not demonstrate	engineering skills and some	completeness.		
engineering skills and	completeness.			
completeness.				
Points, weighted X 4 = (20 possible points)				

Creativity			
1 2 3 4 5			
Science and Engineering: Project fails to demonstrate imagination and/or inventiveness; fails to offer different perspectives that may open up new possibilities or new alternatives.	Science and Engineering: Project demonstrates some imagination and/or inventiveness; although weak, offers different perspectives that may open up new possibilities or new alternatives.	Science and Engineering: Project clearly demonstrates imagination and inventiveness; offers different perspectives that open up new possibilities or new alternatives.	
Points, weighted X 4 = (20 possible points)			

Research Poster/Display 1 4 5 **Science and Engineering**: Does Science and Engineering: **Science and Engineering:** not provide logical organization Provides somewhat scattered Provides a clearly articulated and of materials; graphics and logical organization of material materials that do not appear to legends are unclear or absent; have reasoning to their and display of supporting or supporting documentation is placement; or displays documentation. Excellent use of not displayed. appropriate graphics but graphics and legends. legends are weak; could benefit from additional supporting documentation. Points, weighted X 2 = _____ (10 possible points)

Interview

Does not provide a clear, concise, thoughtful responses to questions; lacks a basic understanding of the science relevant to the project; lacks a basic understanding of the interpretation and limitation of the results and conclusions; displays a lack of independence in conducting the project; no recognition of potential impact in science, society, and/or economics; or provides a low quality of ideas to further research.

If it's a team project, you should also evaluate relative contribution of team members: Team members do not contribute equally to the presentation, and one or more lack substantial understanding of the project.

Provides a moderately clear, concise, thoughtful response to questions; has limited understanding of basic science relevant to the project; has limited understanding of the interpretation and limitations of the results and conclusions; indicates moderate independence in conducting the project; some recognition of potential impact in science, society, and/or economics; struggles with providing ideas for further research.

If it's a team project, you should also evaluate relative contribution of team members: All team members contribute equally to the presentation, but some demonstrate more understanding than others.

Provides a very clear, concise, thoughtful response to questions; understands the basic science relevant to the project; understands the interpretation and limitations of the results and conclusions; demonstrates independence in conducting the project; recognizes the potential impact in science, society and/or economics; provides quality of ideas for further research.

If it's a team project, you should also evaluate relative contribution of team members: All team members contribute equally, and all demonstrate a thorough understanding of the project.

Points, weighted X 5 = _____ (25 possible points)